UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,520	07/20/2001	Samuel Farchione	FSP-10002/08	2097
25006 7590 04/21/2008 GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C PO BOX 7021			EXAMINER	
			MOSSER, KATHLEEN MICHELE	
TROY, MI 48007-7021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/910,520	FARCHIONE, SAMUEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kathleen Mosser	3714		

	Talineen weeser	0714
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	, on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a final rejection rejection rejection rejection. 	nsideration and/or search (see NO¯ w);	ΓE below);
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a composition NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7, 11-14, 16-23 and 27-40 for the reactors</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	sons set forth in the final rejection	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consider because: See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for allowance
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/Kathleen Mosser/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 3714

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts that the it would be improper to combine Gourtou et al since the image data of Gourtou et al is limited to a single physical characteristic. The examiner acknowledges, that Gourtou et al captures a single characteristic using the video camera, however, Nakamura et al teaches that a photo may be used to discern multiple characteristics of a user, thus teaching that the image data captured by Gourtou et al may be used for additionally purposes and that such was known in the art at the time of the applicant's invention. The applicant further asserts that all of the entry of Nakamura et all is a "manual" entry. Contrary to this assertion Nakamura is relatively silent as to how the information from the interviews, photos, etc are entered into the generator. However, the Gourtou et al invention clearly teaches a camera, which is know for taking photos, as an input device. Given these two teachings the examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Gourtou invention to discern multiple characteristics from the image taken .